

brought into the healthy hemispheric field, vision improved or normalized. Finally, the possibility of changes in the modality-specific attention, a special type of attention that is not necessarily spatially distributed, was raised. Changes in this subtype of attention on lateral gazing may therefore help in overcoming visual field deficit as a compensatory mechanism.⁵

We would like to comment upon the tendency of our patient to bisect a horizontal line ipsilaterally to the side of hemianopia, that is, contralaterally to the side of occipital lesion. Patients with complete hemianopia can see only the line on the side of the normal hemifield and would thus be expected to bisect the line ipsilaterally to the brain lesion. However, this is true only in patients with hemianopia and hemispatial neglect, whereas patients with pure hemianopia, as in our case, show a tendency to bisect the line contralaterally to the side of the lesion, toward the hemianopic visual field.^{6,7} This is explained by a change in attentional distribution when a patient with hemianopia tends to search for the end of the line in the direction of the blind hemispace as an adaptive mechanism.^{7,8} However, this adaptation may occur on cost of accuracy in perception, as the erroneous bisection shows. The onset of ocular lateropulsion in the direction opposite to the direction of adaptation would therefore possibly temper this attentional redistribution and lead to a greater ease in accurately perceiving the visual world.

Neck-proprioceptive and caloric-vestibular stimulation have been shown to improve visual neglect.⁹ It seems possible that even though our patient had no neglect, the acute onset of vestibular imbalance due to infarction of the left vestibular nucleus could have contributed to the reset of his attentional distribution and to the pronounced left ocular lateropulsion as well.

The skew deviation resolved earlier than the ocular lateropulsion. This might be due to differences in the neural substrates underlying skew deviation and ocular lateropulsion. Whereas skew deviation in lateral medullary infarcts is related to damage to the otolith pathways at the level of the medial vestibular nucleus, ocular lateropulsion is the result of involvement of the olivocerebellar fibers in the inferior cerebellar peduncle, as described above. A different impact of the ischemia on these two, although

anatomically proximate, neural structures might explain the different speed of recovery.

Despite the fact that the mechanism responsible for improvement of vision is unknown, it seems that ocular lateropulsion can occasionally be of benefit to patients such as in the reported case of a patient with hemianopia. However, as ocular lateropulsion following stroke is of transient duration, this benefit may last only for several weeks.

From the Department of Neurology, Assaf Harofeh Medical Center, Zrifin, and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, University of Tel Aviv, Israel.

Disclosure: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Received July 5, 2006. Accepted in final form November 20, 2006.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. L. Pollak, Kibutz Galuyot 4, 74012 Nes Ziona, Israel; e-mail: lea.pollak@gmail.com

Copyright © 2007 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.

References

1. Waespe W, Wichmann W. Oculomotor disturbances during visual-vestibular interaction in Wallenberg's lateral medullary syndrome. *Brain* 1990;113:821-846.
2. Baloh RW, Yee RD, Honrubia V. Eye movements in patients with Wallenberg's syndrome. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1981;374:600-613.
3. Noda H, Sugita S, Ikeda Y. Afferent and efferent connections of the oculomotor region of the fastigial nucleus in the macaque monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 1990;302:330-348.
4. Ranalli PJ, Sharpe JA. Contrapulsion of saccades and ipsilateral ataxia: a unilateral disorder of the rostral cerebellum. *Ann Neurol* 1986;20:311-316.
5. Nadeau SE, Heilman KM. Gaze-dependent hemianopia without hemispatial neglect. *Neurology* 1991;41:1244-1250.
6. Meienberg O, Zangemeister WH, Rosenberg M, Hoyt WF, Stark L. Saccadic eye movement strategies in patients with homonymous hemianopia. *Ann Neurol* 1981;9:537-544.
7. Barton JJS, Black SE. Line bisection in hemianopia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;64:660-662.
8. Barrett AM, Peterlin BL, Heilman KM. Ipsilateral neglect versus hemianopic compensation. *Neurology* 2003;61:120-123.
9. Heilman KM, Valenstein E. Mechanisms underlying hemispatial neglect. *Ann Neurol* 1979;5:166-170.

VIDEO

Fixed dystonia unresponsive to pallidal stimulation improved by motor cortex stimulation

L.M. Romito, MD; A. Franzini, MD; D. Perani, MD; F. Carella, MD; C. Marras, MD; L. Capus, MD; V. Garibotto, MD; G. Broggi, MD; and A. Albanese, MD

Fixed dystonia is a rare condition in which immobile dystonic postures do not return to a neutral position at rest. It is typically focal or segmental and painful in about 50% of cases.¹ Corticectomy, plexotomy, and peripheral denervation are often tried, but prognosis is poor.¹ We describe a patient with primary fixed dystonia unresponsive to pallidal stimulation who improved markedly with unilateral motor cortex stimulation.

Case report. A right-handed woman with no remarkable personal or family history developed severely painful elevation of the left shoulder in 1990 at age 31. Left anterior scalene myotomy in 1994 was unsuccessful. By 1998 she had progressed to severe segmental dystonia, with fixed elevation and anterorotation of the left shoulder, abduction of the upper limb, severe trunk involvement, and fixed kyphoscoliosis (figure, A; video 1 [on www.neurology.org]). Gestes antagonistes and overflow dystonia were not present. Attempts to stand or walk were thwarted by unbearable pain in the affected shoulder and arm, whereas at rest there was little pain. Benzodiazepine, baclofen, and trihexyphenidyl produced no benefit. *DYT1* and *DYT5* gene mutations were absent. Nothing indicated somatoform or psychogenic disorder.

Brain MRI was normal. Under deep sedation, left shoulder and arm posture became almost normal, and the continuous electromyographic (EMG) activity of the left trapezius and pectoralis major disappeared.

Repeated botulinum toxin (Dysport) injections to the left superior trapezius (up to 500 U), levator scapulae (up to 75 U), and pectoralis major (up to 300 U) did not relieve pain or dystonia. At age 44, internal global pallidus (GPi) stimulators were implanted bilaterally, but no improvement in dystonia or pain occurred over 12 months.

A four-plate Medtronic Resume electrode array was placed epidurally over the right primary motor cortex parallel to the central sulcus² (figure, C) under local anesthesia and MRI control; the GPi electrodes and subclavian generators were left in place. The right generator was connected to the plate, and the left was switched off. Stimulation started the day after implant (3.8 V, 60 Hz, 60 microseconds, contacts -0 +1 -2) and remained unchanged thereafter.

Gradual recovery became evident at 4 months, and by 6 months the pain and dystonic postures of the shoulder and trunk had almost resolved, although the fist remained clenched (figure, B; video 2). This marked improvement persisted at 22 months.

Two resting [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose ([¹⁸F]FDG) PET scans were performed (6 months before and 6 months after cortical implant), using a multiring tomograph. The patient's parametric images of [¹⁸F]FDG distribution were compared with those in 21 healthy subjects using voxel based SPM99 procedures (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Differences were considered significant at $p < 0.001$.

PET during GPi stimulation showed significantly increased glucose consumption in the sensorimotor cortex (more extensive on the left) and supplementary motor cortex and anterior cingulate gyrus bilaterally. PET under cortical stimulation showed significant hypometabolism in the cerebellum, more pronounced on the right, and no increase in cortical metabolism (figure, D).

Additional material related to this article can be found on the *Neurology* Web site. Go to www.neurology.org and scroll down the Table of Contents for the March 13 issue to find the title link for this article.




Figure. (A) Clinical appearance of fixed dystonia before surgical treatments. Note severe fixed segmental dystonia, with elevation and anterorotation of left shoulder, upper limb hyperabduction, and severe kyphoscoliosis. (B) After 6 months of continuous right motor cortex stimulation, the axial and left limb proximal dystonia had improved, without improvement of the left hand. (C) MRI after motor cortex implant shows artifact indicating approximate position of electrode (arrow). (D) Voxel-based statistical parametric mapping analysis ($p < 0.001$). Statistical maps superimposed on standard anatomic template show bilateral cerebellar hypometabolism (blue) under continuous chronic cortical stimulation.

Discussion. Mechanisms of fixed dystonia have not been elucidated but may differ from those of primary torsion dystonia.¹ Our patient did not improve with GPi stimulation, which is often effective in primary and nonprimary dystonia,³ suggesting that the modulation of data flow within the GPi during stimulation was insufficient to improve the fixed dystonia symptoms. The improved movements and fixed dystonia during cortical stimulation were not due to reduced pain because before cortical implant, fixed posturing at rest persisted, although there was little pain. A PubMed search uncovered no reports of fixed dystonia treated by epidural cortical stimulation, but found a report that stroke-related hand dystonia and pain improved with epidural cortical stimulation.² It remains unclear why motor cortex stimulation is effective in these conditions. Low-frequency motor cortical stimulation is thought to activate neurons within the cortex,⁴ which results in modulation of the corticopontocerebellar and the corticopallidothalamocortical loops.

The significant bilateral reduction of cerebellar glucose metabolism during cortical stimulation (figure, D) suggests a modulating effect on cerebellar function. Interestingly, selective elimination of cerebellar output improves dystonia in experimental animals.⁵ Alternatively, modified cerebellar outflow to the motor cortices may have caused plastic reorganization of the cortical representation of movements, to produce the improved motor function.

Both basal ganglia and cerebellum—key structures in motor control—may be involved in the various manifestations of dystonia.^{6,7} The current case suggests that motor cortex stimulation may be a useful treatment option for fixed dystonia.

From the Fondazione I.R.C.C.S. Istituto Neurologico “Carlo Besta” (L.M.R., A.F., F.C., C.M., G.B., A.A.), Università Vita e Salute San Raffaele, IRCCS

San Raffaele, IBFM-CNR (D.P., V.G.), and Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore (A.A.), Milano, and Università di Trieste, Ospedali Riuniti, Trieste (L.C.), Italy.

Supported by the Italian Ministry of Universities and Research (grant PRIN 2004062394).

Disclosure: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Received June 5, 2006. Accepted in final form November 22, 2006.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. A. Albanese, Istituto Nazionale Neurologico Carlo Besta, Via G. Celoria, 11 20133 Milano, Italy; e-mail: alberto.albanese@unicatt.it

Copyright © 2007 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.

References

- Schrag A, Trimble M, Quinn N, Bhatia K. The syndrome of fixed dystonia: an evaluation of 103 patients. *Brain* 2004;127:2360–2372.
- Franzini A, Ferrol P, Dones I, Marras C, Broggi G. Chronic motor cortex stimulation for movement disorders: a promising perspective. *Neurol Res* 2003;25:123–126.
- Vidalhet M, Vercueil L, Houeto JL, et al. Bilateral deep-brain stimulation of the globus pallidus in primary generalized dystonia. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:459–467.
- Tsubokawa T, Katayama Y, Yamamoto T, Hirayama T, Koyama S. Treatment of thalamic pain by chronic motor cortex stimulation. *Pac Clin Electrophysiol* 1991;14:131–134.
- LeDoux MS, Lorden JF, Ervin JM. Cerebellectomy eliminates the motor syndrome of the genetically dystonic rat. *Exp Neurol* 1993;120:302–310.
- Raikes RS, Jinnah HA, Hess EJ. Animal models of generalized dystonia. *NeuroRx* 2005;2:504–512.
- Vitek JL. Pathophysiology of dystonia: a neuronal model. *Mov Disord* 2002;17(suppl 3):S49–S62.

(HR 1.66, 95% CI, 1.08 to 2.55).² The trial was neither designed nor powered to detect a reduction in all-cause mortality.

The previously cited meta-analysis (primarily reflecting trials of patients without prior stroke) found no difference in hemorrhagic stroke associated with statin treatment (RR 1.05, 99% CI 0.78 to 1.41; $p = 0.7$).³

The purposes of my editorial comment⁴ were to both put Dr. Bang and colleagues' observational study into perspective and to prompt thoughtful consideration of the potential relationship between recanalization therapy, low cholesterol, and the risk of hemorrhagic infarction. Statin treatment can be associated with an overall reduction in stroke in both primary and secondary prevention populations. Fewer patients having strokes will result in fewer needing emergent recanalization. The potential for statin-related side effects should not be minimized, but needs to be balanced by the benefit of the treatment.

Larry B. Goldstein, Durham, NC

Disclosure: Dr. Goldstein reports his participation on the Steering Committee for the Stroke Prevention with Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol (SPARCL) study supported by Pfizer. Dr. Goldstein is also a consultant for Pfizer.

Copyright © 2007 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.

1. Bang OY, Saver JL, Liebeskind DS, et al. Cholesterol level and symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation after ischemic stroke thrombolysis. *Neurology* 2007;68:737–742.
2. Amarenco P, Bogousslavsky J, Callahan A 3rd, et al. Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) Investigators. High-dose atorvastatin after stroke or transient ischemic attack. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:549–559.
3. Mascitelli L, Pezzetta F. Underestimated statins-related side effects. *Lancet* 2007 (in press).
4. Goldstein LB. Low LDL cholesterol, statins, and brain hemorrhage: should we worry? *Neurology* 2007;68:719–720.
5. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90 056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins. *Lancet* 2005;366:1267–1278.

FIXED DYSTONIA UNRESPONSIVE TO PALLIDAL STIMULATION IMPROVED BY MOTOR CORTEX STIMULATION

To the Editor: We read with interest the report by Romito et al. about a patient with fixed dystonia who responded to motor cortex stimulation.¹ In our view, their conclusions are limited by uncertainties about the diagnosis and shortcomings in the evaluation of the therapeutic response.

These aspects are important when an invasive neurosurgical procedure is proposed for consideration in similar cases.

The diagnosis of psychogenic dystonia is excluded by the authors with the statement that “nothing indicated somatoform or psychogenic disorder.”¹ This diagnosis should be suspected when patients have fixed and painful posturing of the neck or limbs, which renders them unable to carry out basic daily activities.²

Possible, probable, documented, and clinically established categories of certainty can be established by applying Fahn and Williams' criteria.³ Only 10% of the fixed dystonia cohort evaluated prospectively with a standardized neuropsychiatric protocol by Schrag et al. did not meet criteria for psychogenic dystonia.⁴

Furthermore, the posture exhibited by this patient is similar to that of patients with post-traumatic painful torticollis, specifically the fixed head tilt with ipsilateral shoulder elevation and contralateral shoulder depression.⁵ In most of these cases, a psychogenic etiology was suspected or established after extensive psychological evaluation and the ascertainment of a range of clinical features including distractibility and suggestibility of movements and improvement of all symptoms (sensory loss, pain, and dystonic posturing) following intravenous sodium Amytal.⁵ A careful psychological assessment and detailed evaluation of the patient's past records and illnesses would have been critical to exclude this diagnostic possibility.

The evaluation of the patient is also difficult to interpret. An appropriate assessment of the true effect of the stimulation would have required sham stimulation with double-blind assessments of cortical vs sham responses. Furthermore, it is unclear how the stimulation parameters were chosen and why they remained unchanged despite the long latency to improvement. Finally, the two [¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose PET scans performed 6 months apart were compared to normal control data rather than to each other or to the cortical vs sham stimulation states. The latter comparison would have assessed the direct effects of cortical stimulation in relationship to the motor response.

With the caveats above, this case is intriguing. Even if the patient's fixed dystonia were psychogenic, novel therapeutic options are needed for a condition that is profoundly disabling and lacks effective treatment options. We hope that further characterization of the case phenotype and re-

sponse to stimulation will shed light on a potentially promising strategy.

Alberto J. Espay, Robert Chen, Elena Moro, Anthony E. Lang, Toronto, ON, Canada

Disclosure: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Reply from the Authors: We thank Dr. Espay et al. for their comments on the differential diagnosis of psychogenic dystonia. We would like to provide further details of our case.

The patient received full psychological and psychiatric evaluation. Her history and features did not match the Fahn-Williams criteria for psychogenic dystonia,³ but showed similarities—and also important differences—to those exhibited by patients with “posttraumatic painful torticollis.”⁵ Specifically, onset was not sudden, there was no preceding trauma, clinical course progressed over several years without remission and without rapid progression to maximum severity. The patient was not involved in litigation and was not receiving financial compensation. Her MMPI-2 t-scores were abnormal only for scale 1 (Hs, hypochondria)—known to be associated with chronic pain—and scale 2 (D, depression) and did not show the “conversion V” profile thought to indicate psychogenic dystonia.²

There was no evidence of a complex regional pain syndrome, as observed in other cases of fixed dystonia.⁴ We stress that outcome assessment was single-blinded in that the patient did not know whether stimulation was on or off. The settings for GPi stimulation were within the range to those used for dystonia,⁶ whereas the cortical stimulation settings were based on those used in other movement disorders.⁷

The PET findings were compared to normal controls since this is a single case observation. The statistical parametric mapping procedure cannot be applied to one-by-one comparison, and the only way to obtain reliable results is to compare the individual PET scans of the single patient with those of a group of normal controls. The suggestion that this case is psychogenic, based only on the video clip and necessarily brief case notes, seems improbable.

Psychogenic dystonia remains a controversial clinical diagnosis for which no pathognomonic diagnostic test is available. The sensitivity and specificity of the Fahn-Williams criteria³ are unknown; patients matching these criteria may carry the DYT1 mutation for primary dystonia⁸ or have clinical features typical of primary dystonia.⁹

We emphasize the variable phenomenology of

dystonia¹⁰ because we have seen three other cases similar to the one discussed here. We suspect that this is a distinct subtype of primary dystonia—primary fixed dystonia—that lies at the outer edge of the clinical spectrum of the primary dystonias and should be recognized as a distinct clinical entity.

A. Albanese, L.M. Romito, S. Piacentini, D. Perani, F. Carella, G. Broggi, Milan, Italy

Disclosure: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Copyright © 2007 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.

1. Romito LM, Franzini A, Perani D, et al. Fixed dystonia unresponsive to pallidal stimulation improved by motor cortex stimulation. *Neurology* 2007;68:875–876.
2. Lang AE. Psychogenic dystonia: a review of 18 cases. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1995;22:136–143.
3. Fahn S, Williams DT. Psychogenic dystonia. *Adv Neurol* 1988;50:431–455.
4. Schrag A, Trimble M, Quinn N, Bhatia K. The syndrome of fixed dystonia: an evaluation of 103 patients. *Brain* 2004;127:2360–2372.
5. Sa DS, Mailis-Gagnon A, Nicholson K, Lang AE. Post-traumatic painful torticollis. *Mov Disord* 2003;18:1482–1491.
6. Zorzi G, Marras C, Nardocci N, et al. Stimulation of the globus pallidus internus for childhood-onset dystonia. *Mov Disord* 2005;20:1194–1200.
7. Franzini A, Ferrolì P, Dones I, Marras C, Broggi G. Chronic motor cortex stimulation for movement disorders: a promising perspective. *Neurol Res* 2003;25:123–126.
8. Bentivoglio AR, Loi M, Valente EM, Ialongo T, Tonali P, Albanese A. Phenotypic variability of DYT1-PTD: Does the clinical spectrum include psychogenic dystonia? *Mov Disord* 2002;17:1058–1063.
9. Munhoz RP, Lang AE. Gestes antagonistes in psychogenic dystonia. *Mov Disord* 2004;19:331–332.
10. Albanese A. The clinical expression of primary dystonia. *J Neurol* 2003;250:1145–1151.

FLUCTUATIONS OF CSF AMYLOID- β LEVELS: IMPLICATIONS FOR A DIAGNOSTIC AND THERAPEUTIC BIOMARKER

To the Editor: Bateman et al. should be commended for this study which measures the fluctuations of amyloid-beta ($A\beta$) levels in human CSF.¹ Most studies investigating the use of CSF $A\beta$ level as a biomarker for Alzheimer disease (AD) focused on the longitudinal changes or lack of change.^{2,3}

Bateman et al. implied a steady baseline of CSF $A\beta$ level upon which disease progression and therapeutic efficacy could be monitored. However, this study reveals a marked fluctuation within a 36-hour period. This hour-to-hour variability has broad implications in diagnostic and therapeutic biomarker studies.

There are several issues that need to be ad-