

CHAPTER 15

Dystonia

A. Albanese,^a M.P. Barnes,^b K.P. Bhatia,^c
E. Fernandez-Alvarez,^d G. Filippini,^a T. Gasser,^e
J.K. Krauss,^f A. Newton,^g I. Rektor,^h
M. Savoiardo,^a J. Valls-Solèⁱ

Abstract

Objectives To review the literature on primary dystonia and dystonia plus and to provide evidence-based recommendations.

Background Primary dystonia and dystonia plus are chronic and often disabling conditions with a widespread spectrum mainly in young people.

Search strategy Computerised MEDLINE and EMBASE literature reviews (1966–1967 February 2005) were conducted. The Cochrane Library was searched for relevant citations.

Results on diagnosis Diagnosis and classification of dystonia are highly relevant for providing appropriate management and prognostic information, and genetic counselling. Expert observation is suggested. DYT-1 gene testing in conjunction with genetic counselling is recommended for patients with primary dystonia with onset before the age of 30 and in those with an affected relative with early onset. Positive genetic testing for dystonia (e.g. DYT-1) is not sufficient to diagnose dystonia. Individuals with myoclonus should be tested for the epsilon-sarcoglycan gene (DYT-11). A levodopa trial is warranted in every patient with early onset dystonia without an alternative diagnosis. Brain imaging is not routinely required when there is a confident diagnosis of primary dystonia in adult patients, whereas it is necessary in the paediatric population.

Results on treatment Botulinum toxin (BoNT) type A (or type B if there is resistance to type A) can be regarded as first line treatment for primary cranial (excluding oromandibular) or cervical dystonia and can be effective in writing dystonia. Actual evidence is lacking on direct comparison of the clinical efficacy and safety of BoNT-A vs. BoNT-B. Pallidal deep brain stimulation (DBS) is considered a good option, particularly for generalised or cervical dystonia, after medication or BoNT has failed to provide adequate improvement. Selective peripheral denervation is a safe procedure that is indicated exclusively in cervical dystonia. Intrathecal baclofen can be indicated in patients where secondary dystonia is combined with spasticity. The absolute and comparative efficacy and tolerability of drugs in dystonia, including anticholinergic and antidopaminergic drugs, is poorly documented and no evidence-based recommendations can be made to guide prescribing.

Objectives

The objective of the task force was to review the literature on diagnosis and treatment of primary dystonia and dystonia plus to provide evidence-based recommendations for diagnosis and treatment.

Background

Dystonia is characterised by sustained muscle contractions, frequently causing repetitive twisting movements or abnormal postures (Fahn *et al.*, 1987, 1998). Although it is thought to be rare, it is possibly underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed due to the lack of specific clinical criteria. A recent study evaluated the ability among neurologists with different expertise in movement disorders to recognise

^a Istituto Nazionale Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy;

^b Hunters Moor Regional Rehabilitation Centre, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom;

^c Institute of Neurology, University College London, Queen Square, London, United Kingdom;

^d Neuropediatric Department, Hospital San Joan de Dieu, Barcelona, Spain;

^e Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research, University of Tübingen, Germany;

^f Department of Neurosurgery, Medical University of Hanover, MHH, Hanover, Germany;-

^g European Dystonia Federation, Brussels, Belgium;

^h First Department of Neurology, Masaryk University, St. Anne's Teaching Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic;

ⁱ Neurology Department, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain.

adult onset focal dystonia and found relevant disagreement, particularly among examiners with lesser expertise (Logroscino *et al.*, 2003).

The prevalence of dystonia is difficult to ascertain. On the basis of the best available prevalence estimates, primary dystonia may be 11.1 per 100 000 or early-onset cases in Ashkenazi Jews from New York area, 60 per 100 000 or late-onset cases in Northern England, and 300 per 100 000 for late-onset cases in the Italian population over the age of 50 (Defazio *et al.*, 2004).

Primary dystonia and dystonia plus are chronic and often disabling conditions with a widespread spectrum mainly in young people. Areas of specific concern include differential diagnosis with other movement disorders, aetiological diagnosis, drug treatment, surgical interventions and genetic counselling.

Search strategy

Computerised MEDLINE and EMBASE searches (1966–February 2005) were conducted using a combination of text words and MeSH terms ‘dystonia’, ‘blepharospasm’, ‘torticollis’, ‘writer’s cramp’, ‘Meige syndrome’, ‘dysphonia’ and ‘sensitivity and specificity’ or ‘diagnosis’, and ‘clinical trial’ or ‘random allocation’ or ‘therapeutic use’ limited to human studies. The Cochrane Library and the reference lists of all known primary and review articles were searched for relevant citations. No language restrictions were applied. Studies of diagnosis, diagnostic test, and various treatments for patients suffering from dystonia were considered and rated as Level A to C according to the recommendations for European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS) scientific task forces (Brainin *et al.*, 2004). Where only class IV evidence was available but consensus could be achieved we have proposed Good Practice Points.

Method for reaching consensus

The results of the literature searches were circulated by e-mail to the task force members for comments. The task force chairman prepared a first draft of the manuscript based on the results of the literature review, data synthesis and comments from the task force members. The draft and the recommendations were discussed during a conference held in Milan on 11–12 February 2005, until consensus was reached within the task force.

Results

Diagnosis

Literature search on the diagnosis of dystonia identified no existing guidelines or systematic reviews. Two consensus agreements (Fahn *et al.*, 1987; Deuschl *et al.*, 1998), two reports of workshops or taskforces (Hallett and Daroff, 1996; Sanger *et al.*, 2003), 69 primary studies on clinically based diagnosis and 292 primary studies on the diagnostic accuracy of different laboratory tests were found. Dealing with primary clinical studies, there were 6 cohort studies, 23 case-control studies, 3 cross-sectional and 37 clinical series.

Classification

The classification of dystonia is based on three axes: (a) aetiology, (b) age at onset of symptoms, and (c) distribution of body regions affected (table 15.1). The aetiological axis discriminates primary (idiopathic) dystonia, in which dystonia is the only clinical sign without any identifiable exogenous cause or other inherited or degenerative disease, from non-primary forms in which dystonia is usually just one of several clinical signs. Dystonia plus is characterised by dystonia in combination with other movement disorders, for example, myoclonus or parkinsonism. Paroxysmal dystonia is characterised by brief episodes of dystonia with normalcy in between. Primary dystonia and dystonia plus, whether sporadic or familial, are thought to be of genetic origin in most cases.

Table 15.1 Classification of dystonia based on three axes.

By cause (aetiology)

- Primary (or idiopathic): dystonia is the only clinical sign and there is no identifiable exogenous cause or other inherited or degenerative disease. Example: DYT-1 dystonia.
- Dystonia plus: dystonia is a prominent sign, but is associated with another movement disorder. There is no evidence of neurodegeneration. Example: Myoclonus-dystonia (DYT-11).

- Heredo-degenerative: dystonia is a prominent sign, among other neurological features, of a heredo-degenerative disorder. Example: Wilson's disease.
- Secondary: dystonia is a symptom of an identified neurological condition, such as a focal brain lesion, exposure to drugs or chemicals. Examples: dystonia due to a brain tumour, off-period dystonia in Parkinson's disease.
- Paroxysmal: dystonia occurs in brief episodes with normalcy in between. These disorders are classified as idiopathic (often familial although sporadic cases also occur) and symptomatic due to a variety of causes. Three main forms are known depending on the triggering factor. In paroxysmal kinesigenic dyskinesia (PKD; DYT-9) attacks are induced by sudden movement; in paroxysmal exercise induced dystonia (PED) by exercise such as walking or swimming, and in the non-kinesigenic form (PNKD; DYT-8) by alcohol, coffee, tea, etc. A complicated familial form with PNKD and spasticity (DYT-10) has also been described.

By age at onset

- Early onset (variably defined as ≤ 20 –30 years): usually starts in a leg or arm and frequently progresses to involve other
- limbs and the trunk.
- Late onset: usually starts in the neck (including the larynx), the cranial muscles or one arm. Tends to remain localised with restricted progression to adjacent muscles.

By distribution

- Focal: single body region (e.g. writer's cramp, blepharospasm)
- Segmental: contiguous body regions (e.g. cranial and cervical, cervical and upper limb)

Dystonia

- Multifocal: non-contiguous body regions (e.g. upper and lower limb, cranial and upper limb)
- Generalised: both legs and at least one other body region (usually one or both arms)
- Hemidystonia: half of the body (usually secondary to a structural lesion in the contralateral basal ganglia)

The clinical features of dystonia encompass a combination of dystonic movements and postures to create a sustained postural twisting (torsion dystonia). Dystonic postures can precede the occurrence of dystonic movements and in rare cases can persist without the occurrence of dystonic movements (called 'fixed dystonia') (Albanese, 2003). Dystonia has some specific features that can be recognised by clinical examination. The speed of contractions of dystonic movements may be slow or rapid, but at the peak of movement, it is sustained. Contractions almost always have a consistent directional or posture-assuming character. Dystonia is commonly aggravated during voluntary movement and may only be present with specific voluntary actions (called 'task-specific dystonia') (Bressman, 2000), or may be temporarily alleviated by specific voluntary tasks, called *gestes antagonistes*, also known as 'sensory tricks' (Gomez-Wong *et al.*, 1998; Greene and Bressman, 1998). Overflow to other body parts, while activating the affected region, is often seen. Dystonia manifesting as tremor may precede clear abnormal posturing.

Two articles have addressed the possibility of identifying clinical features to distinguish between primary and non-primary forms (Tan and Jankovic, 2000; Svetel *et al.*, 2004;). The committee has evaluated that the evidence provided by these studies (both Level IV) does not allow the use of their criteria as indicators for aetiological classification.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

1. Diagnosis and classification of dystonia are highly relevant for providing appropriate management, prognostic information, genetic counselling and treatment (Good Practice Point).
2. Based on the lack of specific diagnostic tests, expert observation is recommended. Referral to a movement disorders expert increases the diagnostic accuracy (Logroscino *et al.*, 2003) (Good Practice Point).
3. Neurological examination alone allows the clinical identification of primary dystonia and dystonia plus, but not the distinction among different aetiological forms of heredo-degenerative and secondary dystonias (Good Practice Point).

Use of genetic test in diagnosis and counselling

Only one gene (DYT-1) has been identified for primary dystonias (Ozelius *et al.*, 1989). DYT-1 dystonia typically presents in childhood and usually starts in a limb, gradually progressing to a generalised form. However, many exceptions to this typical presentation have been reported. Other phenotypes of primary dystonia that have been described are: DYT-2, DYT-4, DYT-6, DYT-7 and DYT-13 (de Carvalho and Ozelius, 2002).

Phenotype–genotype correlations have been assessed in DYT-1 dystonia, where one class II study has been published (Bressman *et al.*, 2002). DYT-1 testing had a specificity of up to 100% in dystonia patients with positive family history consistently showing twisting or directional movements and postures. This has led to the recommendation that only patients with such features be considered for genetic studies (Bentivoglio *et al.*, 2002; Bressman *et al.*, 2002); however, this evidence was obtained from American Ashkenazi Jews and does not necessarily apply to the Western European population (Valente *et al.*, 1998). In patients with primary torsion dystonia, age at onset below 30 years, the site of onset in a limb and a positive family history are the three crucial predictors of the diagnostic accuracy of DYT-1 genetic testing (Valente *et al.*, 1998; Klein *et al.*, 1999; Bressman *et al.*, 2000) (class III evidence). Asymptomatic carriers of DYT-1 genetic mutations have been described; the penetrance of DYT-1 dystonia is considered to be around 30%. Four dystonia plus syndromes have been characterised.

The most common form of dopa-responsive dystonia is linked to the DYT-5 gene (GCH1; GTP-cyclohydrolase I). This is a treatable and often misdiagnosed disease for which efforts should be made to warrant a correct diagnosis. The classical phenotype comprises childhood-onset dystonia, sometimes with additional parkinsonism and sustained response to low doses of levodopa, and diurnal fluctuations, with patients being less affected in the morning and more in the evening (Segawa *et al.*, 1976). However, several atypical presentations and several private mutations have been reported (Bandmann and Wood, 2002) (see the database cured by N. Blau and B. Thöny: <http://www.bh4.org/biomdb1.html>). If genetic testing of the GCH1-gene is negative, parkin mutations should be considered, as the two disorders are sometimes difficult to distinguish (Tassin *et al.*, 2000). There is no evidence for supporting guidelines for genetic testing. It has been proposed to make a diagnostic therapeutic trial with levodopa (Robinson *et al.*, 1999) (class IV) or to perform ancillary diagnostic tests. Phenylalanine loading tests and CSF pterin and dopamine metabolite studies may be useful diagnostic complements (Hyland *et al.*, 1997,1999; Bandmann *et al.*, 2003;), but there is no clear evidence regarding their predictive value. Hence, the recommendation still remains that every patient with early onset dystonia without an alternative diagnosis should have a trial with levodopa.

Myoclonus dystonia is characterised by onset in childhood; the initial symptoms usually consist of lightning jerks and dystonia mostly affecting the neck and the upper limbs, with a prevalent proximal involvement and slow progression (Asmus and Gasser, 2004). Myoclonus and dystonia are strikingly alleviated by the ingestion of alcohol in many but not all patients (Vidailhet *et al.*, 2001). When the phenotype is typical and inheritance is dominant more than 50% of patients will have mutations of the epsilon-sarcoglycan gene (DYT-11) (Klein *et al.*, 2000; Leung *et al.*, 2001; Asmus *et al.*, 2002; Valente *et al.*, 2005).

The DYT-12 gene (mutated gene: ATP1A3) is affected in rapid-onset dystonia-parkinsonism, an extremely rare disease with onset in childhood or early adulthood in which patients develop dystonia, bradykinesia, postural instability, dysarthria and dysphagia over a period ranging from several hours to weeks (Dobyns *et al.*, 1993).

A gene for the paroxysmal non-kinesigenic (PNKD) form of dystonia (DYT-8) has been identified. This condition is characterised by episodes of choreo-dystonia lasting many hours and is induced by coffee, tea, alcohol and fatigue (Demirkiran and Jankovic, 1995). Sporadic and more frequently

familial cases with an autosomal dominant inheritance have been described (Bhatia, 2001). Mutations in the myofibrillogenesis regulator 1 (MR-1) gene have been found to cause PNKD in all families with the typical PNKD phenotype (Lee *et al.*, 2004; Rainier *et al.*, 2004; Chen *et al.*, 2005).

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Diagnostic DYT-1 testing in conjunction with genetic counselling is recommended for patients with primary dystonia with onset before the age of 30 (Klein *et al.*, 1999) (Level B).
2. Diagnostic DYT-1 testing in patients with onset after the age of 30 may also be warranted in those having an affected relative with early onset (Klein *et al.*, 1999; Bressman *et al.*, 2000) (Level B).
3. Diagnostic DYT-1 testing is not recommended in patients with onset of symptoms after the age of 30 who either have focal cranial-cervical dystonia or have no affected relative with early onset dystonia (Klein *et al.*, 1999; Bressman *et al.*, 2000) (Level B).
4. Diagnostic DYT-1 testing is not recommended in asymptomatic individuals, including those under the age of 18, who are relatives of familial dystonia patients. Positive genetic testing for dystonia (e.g. DYT-1) is not sufficient to make a diagnosis of dystonia unless clinical features indicate dystonia (Anon. 1995; Klein *et al.*, 1999) (Level B).
5. A diagnostic levodopa trial is warranted in every patient with early onset dystonia without an alternative diagnosis (Robinson *et al.*, 1999) (Good Practice Point).
6. Individuals with myoclonus affecting the arms or neck, particularly if positive for autosomal dominant inheritance, should be tested for the DYT-11 gene (Valente *et al.*, 2005) (Good Practice Point).
7. Diagnostic testing for the PNKD gene (DYT-8) is not widely available but this may become possible in the near future (Good Practice Point).

Use of neurophysiology in the diagnosis and classification of dystonia

Various neurophysiological techniques can document functional abnormalities in patients with dystonia and assist in differential diagnosis, evaluation of the pathophysiology and directing treatment with botulinum toxin injections.

Studies with surface electromyography show co-contraction between muscles with antagonistic functions, overflow of activity to muscles not intended to move, and disordered configuration of the triphasic pattern for ballistic movements (Rothwell *et al.*, 1983; Hughes and McLellan, 1985; Cohen and Hallett, 1988; van der *et al.*, 1989; Deuschl *et al.*, 1992). Studies of brainstem and spinal reflexes demonstrate an enhanced excitability of brainstem or spinal interneurons that is either limited to the affected area or spreads to adjacent areas in focal dystonia (Berardelli *et al.*, 1985; Rothwell *et al.*, 1988; Tolosa *et al.*, 1988; Nakashima *et al.*, 1989; Panizza *et al.*, 1989;). Studies performed with cortical transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) have shown depressed intracortical inhibition, decreased duration of the silent period, and abnormally enhanced recruitment of the motor evoked potential with increasing stimulus intensity and degree of muscle contraction (Ridding *et al.*, 1995; Ikoma *et al.*, 1996; Chen *et al.*, 1997).

Abnormalities of a number of neurophysiological tests of cortical excitability have been reported in symptomatic and non-symptomatic DYT-1 carriers; in contrast, abnormalities of spinal excitability were found only in symptomatic patients. All neurophysiological studies of dystonia are class IV studies, being done in case-control conditions, but not blinded.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

Neurophysiological tests are not routinely recommended for the diagnosis or classification of dystonia; however, the observation of abnormalities typical of dystonia is an additional diagnostic tool in cases where the clinical features are considered insufficient to the diagnosis (Hughes and McLellan, 1985; Deuschl *et al.*, 1992) (Good Practice Point).

Use of brain imaging in the diagnosis of dystonia

Most authors agree that conventional or structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies in primary dystonia are normal. Indeed, a normal MRI study is usually considered a prerequisite to state that a patient's dystonia is primary. Only one conventional MRI class IV study (Schneider *et al.*, 1994) showed T2 bilateral abnormalities in the lentiform nucleus in primary cervical dystonia. However, the abnormalities were only detected on calculated T2 values; no obvious signal changes could be

recognized on visual inspection of T2-weighted images. Structural changes in the lentiform nuclei, predominantly in the contralateral pallidum in patients with adult-onset primary focal dystonia, have been suggested by the increased echogenicity of these structures on transcranial sonography (class IV) (Becker and Berg, 2001).

Interesting prospects to understanding the pathophysiological mechanisms of primary and secondary dystonia are offered by functional MRI studies. Class IV studies conducted in series of patients with blepharospasm (Schmidt *et al.*, 2003), writer's cramp (Preibisch *et al.*, 2001; Oga *et al.*, 2002) or other focal dystonia of the arm (Butterworth *et al.*, 2003) demonstrated that several deep structures and cortical areas may be activated in primary dystonia, depending on the different modalities of examination. Recent class IV voxel-based morphometry studies demonstrated an increase in grey matter density or volume in various areas, including cerebellum, basal ganglia, and primary somatosensory cortex (Draganski *et al.*, 2003; Garraux *et al.*, 2004). The increase in grey matter volume might represent plastic changes secondary to overuse, but different interpretations have been considered.

Positron emission tomography studies with different tracers have provided information about areas of abnormal metabolism in different types of dystonia and in different conditions (e.g. during active involuntary movement or during sleep), providing insight on the role of cerebellar and subcortical structures versus cortical areas in the pathophysiology of dystonia (all class IV studies) (Hutchinson *et al.*, 2000; Asanuma *et al.*, 2005). At present, a practical approach to differentiate patients with dystonia plus syndromes from patients with parkinsonism and secondary dystonia is to obtain a single photon emission computerized tomography study with ligands for dopamine transporter; this is readily available and less expensive than positron emission tomography. Patients with dopa-responsive dystonia have normal studies, whereas patients with early-onset Parkinson's disease show reduction of striatal ligand uptake (class IV) (Marshall and Grosset, 2003).

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

1. Structural brain imaging is not routinely required when there is a confident diagnosis of primary dystonia in adult patients, because a normal study is expected in primary dystonia (Rutledge *et al.*, 1988) (Good Practice Point).
2. Structural brain imaging is necessary for screening of secondary forms of dystonia, particularly in the paediatric population due to the more widespread spectrum of dystonia at this age (Meunier *et al.*, 2003) (Good Practice Point).
3. MRI is preferable to CT, except when brain calcifications are suspected (Good Practice Point).
4. There is no evidence that more sophisticated imaging techniques (e.g., voxel-based morphometry, diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), fMRI) are currently of any value in either the diagnosis or the classification of dystonia (Good Practice Point).

Treatment

Botulinum toxins

Botulinum toxin (BoNT) treatment was recommended for blepharospasm, adductor spasmodic dysphonia, jaw-closing oromandibular dystonia and cervical dystonia by the National Institutes of Health consensus statement (Anon. 1990).

BoNT treatment for cervical dystonia was analysed in four Cochrane reviews. The first review evaluated BoNT-A therapy and included results from thirteen randomised, placebo-controlled trials. They were short-term studies (6–16 weeks) of BoNT-A enrolling 680 patients overall. All trials reported a benefit of a single injection cycle of BoNT-A for cervical dystonia, but did not provide controlled evidence of the long-term effects of repeated BoNT-A injections. Enriched trials (using patients previously treated with BoNT-A), suggested that further injections maintained efficacy in most patients. The most frequently reported treatment-related adverse events were dysphagia, neck weakness, local pain at injection site, and sore throat/dry mouth. Most of the adverse events in patients receiving BoNT-A were mild or moderate; no serious adverse events or laboratory abnormalities were associated with the use of BoNT-A (Costa *et al.*, 2005d).

The second review evaluated BoNT-B and included three short-term (16 weeks) studies enrolling 308 participants. All were multicentre and conducted in the United States. All patients included had previously received BoNT-A. A single injection of BoNT-B improved cervical dystonia (Costa *et al.*, 2005c). A similar conclusion was reached in a different review, which included the same three trials (Figgitt and Noble, 2002).

The third review compared BoNT-A versus BoNT-B, but no preliminary results were yet available from two ongoing trials (Costa *et al.*, 2005a). Evidence is currently lacking on direct comparison of the clinical efficacy and safety of BoNT-A vs. BoNT-B.

The fourth review analysed BoNT-A versus anticholinergics and found only one randomised trial comparing BoNT-A versus trihexyphenidyl in 66 patients with cervical dystonia. The results favoured BoNT-A (Costa *et al.*, 2005e).

One Cochrane review analysed BoNT-A efficacy in blepharospasm, but the authors concluded that there were no high quality randomised controlled studies to support the use of BoNT-A for blepharospasm (Costa *et al.*, 2005b). A narrative review of 55 open control studies conducted on 4340 patients in 28 countries reported a success rate of approximately 90% (American Academy of Ophthalmology, 1989).

One Cochrane review analysed BoNT-A for laryngeal dystonia. Only one randomised study was included in this review and no conclusion was drawn about the effectiveness of BoNT for all types of spasmodic dysphonia (Watts *et al.*, 2004).

The efficacy of BoNT-A treatment for writing dystonia has been reviewed by a recent meta-analysis (Balash and Giladi, 2004). Two trials provided class III data suggesting the efficacy of BoNT-A in this condition.

An open randomised class II study compared the costs and effectiveness of a trained outreach nurse practitioner giving injections of botulinum toxin with the standard procedure carried out by medical practitioners within the clinic. The patients had spasmodic torticollis, blepharospasm, or other segmental dystonia, hemidystonia, or generalised dystonia. The study found that the outreach nurse service was as effective and safe as the standard clinic-based service, and the patients preferred it. Although the costs to the National Health System were slightly higher in the nurse practitioner group, the overall costs for society were lower than in the clinic-based service (Whitaker *et al.*, 2001).

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. BoNT-A (or type B if there is resistance to type A) can be regarded as first line treatment for primary cranial (excluding oromandibular) or cervical dystonia (American Academy of Ophthalmology, 1989; Costa *et al.*, 2005b) (Level A).
2. Due to the large number of patients who require BoNT injections, the burden of performing treatment could be shared with properly trained nurse specialists, except in complex dystonia or where electromyography (EMG) guidance is required (Whitaker *et al.*, 2001) (Level B).
3. BoNT-A may be considered in patients with writing dystonia (Balash and Giladi, 2004) (Level C).

Other treatments

One systematic review is available on other types of symptomatic treatment (Balash and Giladi, 2004).

Anticholinergic drugs

Two small class III crossover studies have investigated if trihexyphenidyl treatment was superior to placebo for childhood onset primary or secondary dystonias (Burke and Fahn, 1983; Burke *et al.*, 1986). These studies showed benefit during a follow-up period of 9 months (Burke *et al.*, 1986) and after a mean follow up of 2.4 years (Burke and Fahn, 1983). In contrast, a class III crossover study on cranial adult-onset dystonia (Nutt *et al.*, 1984) did not reveal differences between centrally acting anticholinergics, peripheral anticholinergics, and placebo in patients with cranial dystonia. A retrospective class IV study on adult-onset dystonia (Lang *et al.*, 1982) found no consistent benefit from anticholinergics in patients with adult-onset focal dystonia and concluded that only a minority of patients with cranial dystonia respond to anticholinergics.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

The absolute and comparative efficacy and tolerability of anticholinergic agents in dystonia is poorly documented in children and there is no proof of efficacy in adults; therefore, no recommendations can be made to guide prescribing (Good Practice Point).

Antiepileptic drugs

Two double blind randomised crossover studies of oral gamma-vinyl GABA (six patients) and valproate (five patients) were considered not representative, due to the small sample size (class IV) (Carella *et al.*, 1986; Snoek *et al.*, 1987). All other available studies are only case-series evaluating the effects of benzodiazepines or carbamazepine in dystonia.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

There is lack of evidence to give recommendations for this type of treatment (Good Practice Point).

Anti-dopaminergic drugs

No controlled trials were available on the effects of this type of treatment. Class IV studies reported symptomatic relief with classic neuroleptics like haloperidol or pimozide (Lang, 1988; Balash and Giladi, 2004).

Tetrabenazine was effective in one double-blind randomised crossover study that was considered class IV due to the small sample size (Jankovic, 1982). The positive effect of this treatment was confirmed in a large class IV series of patients with different types of movement disorders, including dystonia, followed up retrospectively for a mean duration of 6.6 years (Jankovic and Beach, 1997). All other available studies are also of class IV, thus insufficient to prove the effect of tetrabenazine.

Two class IV studies evaluated the effects of risperidone in patients with different forms of dystonia and did not provide sufficient evidence of efficacy. One class IV study on tiapride and three studies on clozapine did not provide evidence of efficacy.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

There is lack of evidence to give recommendations for this type of treatment (Good Practice Point).

Dopaminergic drugs

Levodopa is the treatment of choice for dopa-responsive dystonia. There are no evidence-based data to support the use of levodopa or dopamine agonists in other primary dystonias. Patients with dopa-responsive dystonia typically experience marked long-term benefit with low doses of levodopa. The optimal dose differs among patients; while some respond magnificently to small doses, others require higher doses.

A class IV trial performed on a small sample of dopa-responsive dystonia patients showed no differences in the short- and long-duration responses (Nutt and Nygaard, 2001). Many uncontrolled studies reported improvement of parkinsonism and dystonia with variable doses of levodopa, from 100 mg daily (Gherpelli *et al.*, 1995) to 750 mg daily (Rajput *et al.*, 1994). In a case series of 20 patients clinical benefit was observed at a mean dose of 343.8 mg daily for patients with dyskinesias, and 189.1 mg daily for patients without dyskinesias; in addition, there was an inverse correlation between the daily dose of levodopa and duration of treatment (Hwang *et al.*, 2001).

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

Following a positive diagnostic trial with levodopa, chronic treatment with levodopa should be initiated and adjusted according to the clinical response (Hwang *et al.*, 2001) (Good Practice Point).

Other drugs

A class I study on the acute effect of nabilone (a cannabinoid receptor agonist) did not show efficacy (Fox *et al.*, 2002). Only class IV evidence is available regarding alcohol, lidocaine, diphenhydramine, L-tryptophan, tizanidine or oestrogens.

Neurosurgical procedures

The available studies were classified according to the following categories: deep brain stimulation; selective peripheral denervation/myectomy; intrathecal baclofen; radiofrequency lesions; rare, uncommon or obsolete procedures.

Deep brain stimulation (DBS)

Long-term electrical stimulation of the globus pallidus internus (GPi) or the thalamus has been applied in patients with various features of dystonia, mainly those who do not achieve adequate benefit with medical treatment. At this time, the consensus is that patients with primary (familial or sporadic) generalised or segmental dystonia and patients with complex cervical dystonia are the best candidates for pallidal DBS (Krauss *et al.*, 2004). Several other manifestations are currently being explored. DBS has received approval from the Food and Drug Administration in the United States in the form of an humanitarian device exemption and has received the CE-mark for dystonia in Europe.

All studies published thus far are class IV, with the exception of a recent class III study on primary generalised dystonia (Vidailhet *et al.*, 2005).

It has been observed that the improvement of dystonia following DBS implants follows a specific sequence. While dystonic movements (including phasic, myoclonic and tremulous features) may improve immediately or within hours or days after surgery, dystonic postures (i.e. tonic features) generally have a delayed improvement over weeks or months (Yianni *et al.*, 2003; Coubes *et al.*, 2004; Krause *et al.*, 2004; Vidailhet *et al.*, 2005).

Primary versus secondary dystonia. The postoperative improvement of patients with primary dystonia who receive GPi implants is within a range of 40–90% using standard dystonia rating scales. The improvement of patients with secondary dystonia is much less pronounced (Eltahawy *et al.*, 2004; Krauss *et al.*, 2004).

Targets other than the pallidum. The GPi is currently considered the target of choice in primary dystonia; however, the ventrolateral thalamus has been considered by some a suitable target for secondary dystonia by some. Other targets (e.g. the subthalamic nucleus) have also been considered for primary dystonia. Due to the paucity of data, no conclusions can be made at this time and no recommendations can be given.

Generalised dystonia. The most beneficial results with pallidal DBS were reported in children with DYT-1 dystonia with improvement in the range of 40–90% (Coubes *et al.*, 2000). However, also adult patients with non-DYT-1 primary generalised dystonia can achieve equivalent benefit (Yianni *et al.*, 2003; Coubes *et al.*, 2004; Krause *et al.*, 2004). A class III French multicentre study investigated the effect of bilateral pallidal DBS in primary generalised dystonia including blinded assessment of clinical outcome (Vidailhet *et al.*, 2005). The mean percentage of improvement of the Burke–Fahn–Marsden rating scale after pallidal DBS in primary generalised dystonia in this study was on average 54%, and the mean improvement of disability was on average 44%.

Cervical dystonia. Pallidal DBS has been primarily used in patients who were thought not to be ideal candidates for peripheral denervation, including those with head tremor and myoclonus, marked phasic dystonic movements, sagittal and lateral shift, antecollis, and combined complex forms of cervical dystonia. Postoperative benefit in these patients most often was evaluated with the Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale. At 1–2 year follow-up, the improvement in severity score ranged between 50 and 70%, the disability score improved between 60 and 70%, and the pain score between 50 and 60% (Level C) (Krauss *et al.*, 1999; Parkin *et al.*, 2001; Eltahawy *et al.*, 2004).

Chronic stimulation uses both higher pulse width and voltage than in PD, which results in much higher energy consumption and earlier battery depletion. Batteries must be replaced every 2 years or even more often. Sudden battery depletion may induce acute recurrence of dystonia, sometimes resulting in a medical emergency. Three safety aspects have to be considered: surgery-related complications, stimulation-induced side effects and hardware-related problems.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

Pallidal DBS is considered a good option, particularly for generalized or cervical dystonia, after medication or BoNT have failed to provide adequate improvement. While it can be considered second-line treatment in patients with generalized dystonia, this is not the case in cervical dystonia as there are other surgical options available (see below). This procedure requires a specialized expertise, and is not without side effects (Eltahawy *et al.*, 2004; Vidailhet *et al.*, 2005) (Good Practice Point).

Selective peripheral denervation and myectomy

The National Institute for Clinical Excellence of the United Kingdom has produced a guideline for selective peripheral denervation in cervical dystonia which was issued in August 2004 (The National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 2004). Selective peripheral denervation should not be confused with intradural rhizotomy, which has a high incidence of complications; it is indicated in patients with cervical dystonia who do not achieve adequate response with medical treatment or repeated botulinum toxin injections. It is indicated in non-responders to botulinum toxin injections. Additional myectomy may be carried out if necessary. Patients with prominent (phasic or myoclonic) dystonic movements or with dystonic head tremor are not good candidates for this procedure.

In some patients, selective peripheral denervation can also be an alternative to botulinum toxin injections. Overall, about one to two-thirds of patients achieve useful long-term improvement. This proportion has been higher, up to 90%, in some studies (Bertrand, 1993); however it is unclear how follow-up was performed in these studies. Denervation of C2 invariably involves numbness in the territory of the greater occipital nerve in the early postoperative period. Patients should be informed about the invariable procedure-related numbness; neuropathic pain can develop rarely. Swallowing difficulties have been noted in some studies. In about 1–2% of patients the procedure causes weakness

in non-dystonic muscles, in particular in the trapezius. Re-innervation can occur and the patient may require further surgery.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Selective peripheral denervation is a safe procedure with infrequent and minimal side effects that is indicated exclusively in cervical dystonia. This procedure requires specialised expertise (The National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 2004) (Level C).

Intrathecal baclofen

Intrathecal baclofen has been used in patients with severe generalised dystonia; in particular, patients who have concomitant severe spasticity may benefit from this therapeutic option. The number of publications has decreased as the use of DBS for dystonia has become more prevalent. All the available evidence on outcome is Class IV and furthermore no standardized dystonia scales have been used; thus results are difficult to compare. Controlled studies have only been performed on the screening procedure to select candidates for long-term treatment. There is no evidence to set the procedure in perspective with other treatments. Overall, the results from different centres are variable.

The surgical risk is low, but the method is burdened by medication-related side effects, infections and long-term hardware-related problems. Intrathecal baclofen for treatment of dystonia requires frequent pump refills and follow-up visits.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

There is insufficient evidence to use this treatment in primary dystonia; the procedure can be indicated in patients where secondary dystonia is combined with spasticity (Albright *et al.*, 2001) (Good Practice Point).

Radiofrequency lesions

Until recently, unilateral or bilateral stereotactic radiofrequency ablations of the thalamus or the pallidum were the preferred surgical methods to treat patients with severe and otherwise refractory dystonia. Most of the available literature suffers from methodological flaws and there is little data available to compare the benefits achieved with thalamotomy as opposed to pallidotomy (Ondo *et al.*, 2001; Loher *et al.*, 2004). In a retrospective series of 32 patients with primary and secondary dystonias, it was found that patients with primary dystonia who underwent pallidotomy demonstrated significantly better long-term outcomes than did patients who underwent thalamotomy (Yoshor *et al.*, 2001). Patients with secondary dystonia experienced more modest improvement after either procedure, with little or no difference in outcome between the two procedures.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

Radiofrequency ablations are currently discouraged for bilateral surgery because of the relatively high risk of side effects (Good Practice Point). The focus of treatment has currently shifted to DBS because of its lower risk for bilateral procedures.

Rare, uncommon or obsolete procedures

Intradural anterior cervical rhizotomy was the most common operation for cervical dystonia before the advent of peripheral denervation (Hamby, 1970; Friedman *et al.*, 1993). Several variations of this procedure have been developed. As the 'standard procedure' was rather non-selective and resulted in high complication rates, modified techniques aimed to denervate the dystonic muscles and to preserve normal activity. Both the reported results and the complication rates in different series were highly variable (Colbassani, Jr. and Wood, 1986). Side effects included dysphagia, weakness of the neck, cerebrospinal fluid fistulas and infection. Weak or unstable neck has been estimated to occur in about 40% of patients after bilateral rhizotomy, and transient dysphagia in about 30% of patients.

Microvascular decompression of the spinal accessory nerve for treatment of cervical dystonia has been used in analogy to the therapeutic benefit of this procedure in other cranial neuropathies such as hemifacial spasm (Jho and Jannetta, 1995). Pathophysiological concepts do not support microvascular decompression as a valid treatment option for cervical dystonia, and data on outcome are very limited.

GOOD PRACTICE POINTS

1. Intradural rhizotomy has been replaced by selective ramisectomy and peripheral enervation or myotomy. These procedures are no longer recommended.
2. Microvascular decompression is not recommended for treatment of cervical dystonia.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Dr. Antonio E. Elia, who performed literature searches and preparatory work.

References

- 1991 Clinical use of botulinum toxin. *Arch Neurol* **48**:1294–298.
- 1995 Points to consider: ethical, legal, and psychosocial implications of genetic testing in children and adolescents. American Society of Human Genetics Board of Directors, American College of Medical Genetics Board of Directors. *Am J Hum Genet* **57**:1233–1241.
- Albanese A (2003). The clinical expression of primary dystonia. *J Neurol* **250**:1145–1151.
- Albright AL, Barry MJ, Shafton DH, Ferson SS (2001). Intrathecal baclofen for generalized dystonia. *Develop Med Child Neurol* **43**:652–657.
- American Academy of Ophthalmology (1989). Botulinum toxin therapy of eye muscle disorders. Safety and effectiveness. *Ophthalmology* **96**(2):37–41.
- Asanuma K, Ma Y, Huang C, Carbon-Correll M, Edwards C, Raymond D, Bressman SB, Moeller J R, Eidelberg D (2005). The metabolic pathology of dopa-responsive dystonia. *Ann Neurol* **57**:596–600.
- Asmus F, Gasser T (2004). Inherited myoclonus-dystonia. *Adv Neurol* **94**:113–119.
- Asmus F, Zimprich A, Tezenas du MS, Kabus C, Deuschl G, Kupsch A, Ziemann U, Castro M, Kuhn AA, Strom TM, Vidailhet M, Bhatia KP, Durr A, Wood NW, Brice A, Gasser T (2002). Myoclonus-dystonia syndrome: epsilon-sarcoglycan mutations and phenotype. *Ann Neurol* **52**:489–492.
- Balash Y, Giladi N (2004). Efficacy of pharmacological treatment of dystonia: evidence-based review including meta-analysis of the effect of botulinum toxin and other cure options. *European J Neurol* **11**:361–370.
- Bandmann O, Wood NW (2002). Dopa-responsive dystonia. The story so far. *Neuropediatrics* **33**:1–5.
- Bandmann O, Goertz M, Zschocke J, Deuschl G, Jost W, Heftner H, Muller U, Zofel P, Hoffmann G, Oertel W (2003). The phenylalanine loading test in the differential diagnosis of dystonia. *Neurology* **60**:700–702.
- Becker G, Berg D (2001). Neuroimaging in basal ganglia disorders: perspectives for transcranial ultrasound. *Mov Disord* **16**:23–32.
- Bentivoglio AR, Loi M, Valente EM, Ialongo T, Tonali P, Albanese A (2002). Phenotypic variability of DYT1-PTD: Does the clinical spectrum include psychogenic dystonia? *Mov Disord* **17**:1058–1063.
- Berardelli A, Rothwell JC, Day BL, Marsden CD (1985). Pathophysiology of blepharospasm and oromandibular dystonia. *Brain* **108**:593–608.
- Bertrand CM (1993). Selective peripheral denervation for spasmodic torticollis: surgical technique, results, and observations in 260 cases. *Surg Neurol* **40**:96–103.
- Bhatia KP (2001). Familial (idiopathic) paroxysmal dyskinesias: an update. *Semin Neurol* **21**: 69–74.
- Brainin M, Barnes M, Baron JC, Gilhus NE, Hughes R, Selmaj K, Waldemar G (2004). Guidance for the preparation of neurological management guidelines by EFNS scientific task forces—revised recommendations 2004. *Euro J Neurol* **11**:577–581.
- Bressman SB (2000). Dystonia update. *Clin Neuropharmacol* **23**:239–251.
- Bressman SB, Sabatti C, Raymond D, de Leon D, Klein C, Kramer PL, Brin MF, Fahn S, Breakefield X, Ozelius LJ, Risch NJ (2000). The DYT1 phenotype and guidelines for diagnostic testing. *Neurology* **54**:1746–1752.
- Bressman SB, Raymond D, Wendt K, Saunders-Pullman R, de Leon D, Fahn S, Ozelius L, Risch N (2002). Diagnostic criteria for dystonia in DYT1 families. *Neurology* **59**:1780–1782.
- Burke RE, Fahn S (1983). Double-blind evaluation of trihexyphenidyl in dystonia. *Adv Neurol* **37**:189–192.
- Burke RE, Fahn S, Marsden CD (1986). Torsion dystonia: a double blind, prospective trial of high-dosage trihexyphenidil. *Neurology* **36**:160–164.
- Butterworth S, Francis S, Kelly E, McGlone F, Bowtell R, Sawle GV (2003). Abnormal cortical sensory activation in dystonia: an fMRI study. *Mov Disord* **18**:673–682.
- Carella F, Girotti F, Scigliano G, Caraceni T, Joder-Ohlenbusch AM, Schechter PJ (1986). Double-blind study of oral gamma-vinyl GABA in the treatment of dystonia. *Neurology* **36**:98–100.
- Chen R, Wassermann EM, Canos M, Hallett M (1997). Impaired inhibition in writer's cramp during voluntary muscle activation. *Neurology* **49**:1054–1059.

- Chen DH, Matsushita M, Rainier S, Meaney B, Tisch L, Feleke A, Wolff J, Lipe H, Fink J, Bird T D, Raskind WH (2005). Presence of alanine-to-valine substitutions in myofibrillogenesis regulator 1 in paroxysmal nonkinesigenic dyskinesia: confirmation in 2 kindreds. *Arch Neurol* **62**:597–600.
- Cohen LG, Hallett M (1988). Hand cramps: clinical features and electromyographic patterns in a focal dystonia. *Neurology* **38**:1005–1012.
- Colbassani HJ Jr, Wood JH (1986). Management of spasmodic torticollis. *Surg Neurol* **25**: 153–158.
- Costa J, Borges A, Espirito-Santo C, Ferreira J, Coelho M, Moore P, Sampaio C (2005a). Botulinum toxin type A versus botulinum toxin type B for cervical dystonia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD004314.
- Costa J, Espirito-Santo C, Borges A, Ferreira J, Coelho M, Moore P, Sampaio C (2005b). Botulinum toxin type A therapy for blepharospasm. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD004900.
- Costa J, Espirito-Santo C, Borges A, Ferreira J, Coelho M, Moore P, Sampaio C (2005c). Botulinum toxin type B for cervical dystonia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD004315.
- Costa J, Espirito-Santo C, Borges A, Ferreira J, Coelho M, Moore P, Sampaio C (2005d). Botulinum toxin type A therapy for cervical dystonia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD003633.
- Costa J, Espirito-Santo C, Borges A, Ferreira J, Coelho M, Sampaio C (2005e). Botulinum toxin type A versus anticholinergics for cervical dystonia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD004312.
- Coubes P, Roubertie A, Vayssiere N, Hemm S, Echenne B (2000). Treatment of DYT1-generalised dystonia by stimulation of the internal globus pallidus. *Lancet* **355**:2220–2221.
- Coubes P, Cif L, El Fertit H, Hemm S, Vayssiere N, Serrat S, Picot MC, Tuffery S, Claustres M, Echenne B, Frerebeau P (2004). Electrical stimulation of the globus pallidus internus in patients with primary generalized dystonia: long-term results. *J Neurosurg* **101**:189–194.
- de Carvalho PM, Ozilius LJ (2002). Classification and genetics of dystonia. *Lancet Neurol* **1**:316–325.
- Defazio G, Abbruzzese G, Livrea P, Berardelli A (2004). Epidemiology of primary dystonia. *Lancet Neurol* **3**:673–678.
- Demirkiran M, Jankovic J (1995). Paroxysmal dyskinesias: clinical features and classification. *Ann Neurol* **38**:571–579.
- Deuschl G, Heinen F, Kleedorfer B, Wagner M, Lucking CH, Poewe W (1992). Clinical and polymyographic investigation of spasmodic torticollis. *J Neurol* **239**:9–15.
- Deuschl G, Bain P, Brin M (1998). Consensus statement of the Movement Disorder Society on Tremor. Ad Hoc Scientific Committee. *Mov Disord* **13**(3):2–23.
- Dobyns WB, Ozilius LJ, Kramer PL, Brashear A, Farlow MR, Perry TR, Walsh LE, Kasarskis EJ, Butler IJ, Breakefield XO (1993). Rapid-onset dystonia-parkinsonism. *Neurology* **43**:2596–2602.
- Draganski B, Thun-Hohenstein C, Bogdahn U, Winkler J, May A (2003). "Motor circuit" gray matter changes in idiopathic cervical dystonia. *Neurology* **61**:1228–1231.
- Eltahawy HA, Saint-Cyr J, Poon YY, Moro E, Lang AE, Lozano AM (2004). Pallidal deep brain stimulation in cervical dystonia: clinical outcome in four cases. *Canad J Neurol Sci* **31**:328–332.
- Fahn S, Marsden CD, Calne DB (1987). Classification and investigation of dystonia, In *Movement disorders 2*, C. D. Marsden S. Fahn, eds., Butterworths, London, pp. 332–358.
- Fahn S, Bressman S, Marsden CD (1998). Classification of dystonia. *Adv Neurol* **78**:1–10.
- Figgitt DP, Noble S (2002). Botulinum toxin B: a review of its therapeutic potential in the management of cervical dystonia. *Drugs* **62**:705–722.
- Fox SH, Kellett M, Moore AP, Crossman AR, Brotchie JM (2002). Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to assess the potential of cannabinoid receptor stimulation in the treatment of dystonia. *Mov Disord* **17**:145–149.
- Friedman AH, Nashold BS, Sharp R, Caputi F, Arruda J (1993). "Treatment of spasmodic torticollis with intradural selective rhizotomies," *J Neurosurg* **78**:46–53.
- Garraux G, Bauer A, Hanakawa T, Wu T, Kansaku K, Hallett M (2004). Changes in brain anatomy in focal hand dystonia. *Ann Neurol* **55**:736–739.
- Gherpelli JL, Nagae LM, Diament A (1995). DOPA-sensitive progressive dystonia of childhood with diurnal fluctuations of symptoms: a case report. *Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria* **53**: 298–301.
- Gomez-Wong E, Marti MJ, Cossu G, Fabregat N, Tolosa ES, Valls-Sole J (1998). The 'geste antagonistique' induces transient modulation of the blink reflex in human patients with blepharospasm. *Neurosci Lett* **251**:125–128.
- Greene PE, Bressman S (1998). Exteroceptive and interoceptive stimuli in dystonia. *Mov Disord* **13**:549–551.
- Hallett M, Daroff RB (1996). Blepharospasm: report of a workshop. *Neurology* **46**:1213–1218.
- Hamby WB (1970). Schiffer S:Spasmodic torticollis; results after cervical rhizotomy in 80 cases. *Clin Neurosurg* **17**:28–37.
- Hughes M, McLellan DL (1985). Increased co-activation of the upper limb muscles in writer's cramp. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **48**:782–787.

- Hutchinson M, Nakamura T, Moeller JR, Antonini A, Belakhlef A, Dhawan V, Eidelberg D (2000). The metabolic topography of essential blepharospasm: a focal dystonia with general implications. *Neurology* **55**:673–677.
- Hwang WJ, Calne DB, Tsui JK, Fuente-Fernandez R (2001). The long-term response to levodopa in dopa-responsive dystonia. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* **8**:1–5.
- Hyland K, Fryburg JS, Wilson WG, Bebin EM, Arnold LA, Gunasekera RS, Jacobson RD, Rost-Ruffner E, Trugman JM (1997). Oral phenylalanine loading in dopa-responsive dystonia: a possible diagnostic test. *Neurology* **48**:1290–1297.
- Hyland K, Nygaard TG, Trugman JM, Swoboda KJ, Arnold LA, Sparagana SP (1999). Oral phenylalanine loading profiles in symptomatic and asymptomatic gene carriers with dopa-responsive dystonia due to dominantly inherited GTP cyclohydrolase deficiency. *J Inherit Metab Dis* **22**:213–215.
- Ikoma K, Samii A, Mercuri B, Wassermann EM, Hallett M (1996). Abnormal cortical motor excitability in dystonia. *Neurology* **46**:1371–1376.
- Jankovic J (1982). Treatment of hyperkinetic movement disorders with tetrabenazine: a double-blind crossover study. *Ann Neurol* **11**:41–47.
- Jankovic J, Beach J (1997). Long-term effects of tetrabenazine in hyperkinetic movement disorders. *Neurology* **48**:358–362.
- Jho HD, Jannetta PJ (1995). Microvascular decompression for spasmodic torticollis. *Acta Neurochirurgica* **134**:21–26.
- Klein C, Friedman J, Bressman S, Vieregge P, Brin MF, Pramstaller PP, de Leon D, Hagenah J, Sieberer M, Fleet C, Kiely R, Xin W, Breakefield XO, Ozelius LJ, Sims KB (1999). Genetic testing for early-onset torsion dystonia (DYT1): introduction of a simple screening method, experiences from testing of a large patient cohort, and ethical aspects. *Genet Test* **3**:323–328.
- Klein C, Schilling K, Saunders-Pullman RJ, Garrels J, Breakefield XO, Brin MF, deLeon D, Doheny D, Fahn S, Fink JS, Forsgren L, Friedman J, Frucht S, Harris J, Holmgren G, Kis B, Kurlan R, Kyllerman M, Lang AE, Leung J, Raymond D, Robishaw JD, Sanner G, Schwinger E, Tabamo RE, Tagliati M (2000). A major locus for myoclonus-dystonia maps to chromosome 7q in eight families. *Amer J Hum Genet* **67**:1314–1319.
- Krause M, Fogel W, Kloss M, Rasche D, Volkmann J, Tronnier V (2004). Pallidal stimulation for dystonia. *Neurosurgery* **55**:1361–1370.
- Krauss JK, Pohle T, Weber S, Ozdoba C, Burgunder JM (1999). Bilateral stimulation of globus pallidus internus for treatment of cervical dystonia. *Lancet* **354**:837–838.
- Krauss JK, Yianni J, Loher TJ, Aziz TZ (2004). Deep brain stimulation for dystonia. *J Clin Neurophysiol* **21**:18–30.
- Lang AE (1988). Dopamine agonists and antagonists in the treatment of idiopathic dystonia. *Adv Neurol* **50**:561–570.
- Lang AE, Sheehy MP, Marsden CD (1982). Anticholinergics in adult-onset focal dystonia. *Canad J Neurol Sci* **9**:313–319.
- Lee HY, Xu Y, Huang Y, Ahn AH, Auburger GW, Pandolfo M, Kwiecinski H, Grimes DA, Lang AE, Nielsen JE, Averyanov Y, Servidei S, Friedman A, Van BP, Abramowicz MJ, Bruno MK, Sorensen BF, Tang L, Fu YH, Ptacek LJ (2004). The gene for paroxysmal non-kinesigenic dyskinesia encodes an enzyme in a stress response pathway. *Hum Mol Genet* **13**:3161–3170.
- Leung JC, Klein C, Friedman J, Vieregge P, Jacobs H, Doheny D, Kamm C, deLeon D, Pramstaller PP, Penney JB, Eisengart M, Jankovic J, Gasser T, Bressman SB, Corey DP, Kramer P, Brin MF, Ozelius LJ, Breakefield XO (2001). Novel mutation in the TOR1A (DYT1) gene in atypical early onset dystonia and polymorphisms in dystonia and early onset parkinsonism. *Neurogenetics* **3**:133–143.
- Logroscino G, Livrea P, Anaclerio D, Aniello MS, Benedetto G, Cazzato G, Giampietro L, Manobianca G, Marra M, Martino D, Pannarale P, Pulimeno R, Santamato V, Defazio G (2003). Agreement among neurologists on the clinical diagnosis of dystonia at different body sites. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **74**:348–350.
- Loher TJ, Pohle T, Krauss JK (2004). Functional stereotactic surgery for treatment of cervical dystonia: review of the experience from the lesional era. *Stereotac Funct Neurosurg* **82**:1–13.
- Marshall V, Grosset D (2003). Role of dopamine transporter imaging in routine clinical practice. *Mov Disord* **18**:1415–1423.
- Meunier S, Lehericy S, Garnero L, Vidailhet M (2003). Dystonia: lessons from brain mapping. *Neuroscientist* **9**:76–81.
- Nakashima K, Rothwell JC, Day BL, Thompson PD, Shannon K, Marsden CD (1989). Reciprocal inhibition between forearm muscles in patients with writer's cramp and other occupational cramps, symptomatic hemidystonia and hemiparesis due to stroke. *Brain* **112**:681–697.
- Nutt JG, Hammerstad JP, deGarmo P, Carter J (1984). Cranial dystonia: double-blind crossover study of anticholinergics. *Neurology* **34**:215–217.
- Nutt JG, Nygaard TG (2001). Response to levodopa treatment in dopa-responsive dystonia. *Arch Neurol* **58**(6):905–910.

- Oga T, Honda M, Toma K, Murase N, Okada T, Hanakawa T, Sawamoto N, Nagamine T, Konishi J, Fukuyama H, Kaji R, Shibasaki H (2002). Abnormal cortical mechanisms of voluntary muscle relaxation in patients with writer's cramp: an fMRI study. *Brain* **125**:895–903.
- Ondo WG, Desaloms M, Krauss JK, Jankovic J, Grossman RG (2001). Pallidotomy and thalamotomy for dystonia. In *Surgery for Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders*, J. K. Krauss, J. Jankovic, R. G. Grossman, eds., Lippincott, Philadelphia, pp. 299–306.
- Ozelius L, Kramer PL, Moskowitz CB, Kwiakowski DJ, Brin MF, Bressman SB, Schuback DE, Falk CT, Risch N, de Leon D, Burke RE, Haines J, Gusella JF, Fahn S, Breakfield XO (1989). Human gene for torsion dystonia located on chromosome 9q32-q34. *Neuron* **2**:1427–1434.
- Panizza ME, Hallett M, Nilsson J (1989). Reciprocal inhibition in patients with hand cramps. *Neurology* **39**:85–89.
- Parkin S, Aziz T, Gregory R, Bain P (2001). Bilateral internal globus pallidus stimulation for the treatment of spasmodic torticollis. *Mov Disord* **16**:489–493.
- Preibisch C, Berg D, Hofmann E, Solymosi L, Naumann M (2001). Cerebral activation patterns in patients with writer's cramp: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurol* **248**:10–17.
- Rainier S, Thomas D, Tokarz D, Ming L, Bui M, Plein E, Zhao X, Lemons R, Albin R, Delaney C, Alvarado D, Fink JK (2004). Myofibrillogenesis regulator 1 gene mutations cause paroxysmal dystonic choreoathetosis. *Arch Neurol* **61**:1025–1029.
- Rajput AH, Gibb WR, Zhong XH, Shannak KS, Kish S, Chang LG, Hornykiewicz O (1994). Dopa-responsive dystonia: pathological and biochemical observations in a case. *Ann Neurol* **35**(4):396–402.
- Ridding MC, Sheean G, Rothwell JC, Inzelberg R, Kujirai T (1995). Changes in the balance between motor cortical excitation and inhibition in focal, task specific dystonia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **59**:493–498.
- Robinson R, McCarthy GT, Bandmann O, Dobbie M, Surtees R, Wood NW (1999). GTP cyclohydrolase deficiency; intrafamilial variation in clinical phenotype, including levodopa responsiveness. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **66**:86–89.
- Rothwell JC, Obeso JA, Marsden CD (1983). Pathophysiology of dystonias. In *Motor Control Mechanisms in Health and Disease*, J. E. Desmedt, ed., Raven, New York, pp. 851–863.
- Rothwell JC, Day BL, Obeso JA, Berardelli A, Marsden CD (1988). Reciprocal inhibition between muscles of the human forearm in normal subjects and in patients with idiopathic torsion dystonia. *Adv Neurol* **50**:133–140.
- Rutledge JN, Hilal SK, Silver AJ, Defendini R, Fahn S (1988). Magnetic resonance imaging of dystonic states. *Adv Neurol* **50**:265–275.
- Sanger TD, Delgado MR, Gaebler-Spira D, Hallett M, Mink JW (2003). Classification and definition of disorders causing hypertonia in childhood. *Pediatrics* **111**:e89–e97.
- Schmidt KE, Linden DE, Goebel R, Zanella FE, Lanfermann H, Zubcov AA (2003). Striatal activation during blepharospasm revealed by fMRI. *Neurology* **60**:1738–1743.
- Schneider S, Feifel E, Ott D, Schumacher M, Lucking CH, Deuschl G (1994). Prolonged MRI T2 times of the lentiform nucleus in idiopathic spasmodic torticollis. *Neurology* **44**:846–850.
- Segawa M, Hosaka A, Miyagawa F, Nomura Y, Imai H (1976). Hereditary progressive dystonia with marked diurnal fluctuation. *Adv Neurol* **14**:215–233.
- Snoek JW, van Weerden TW, Teelken AW, van den BW, Lakke JP (1987). Meige syndrome: double-blind crossover study of sodium valproate. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **50**:1522–1525.
- Svetel M, Ivanovic N, Marinkovic J, Jovic J, Dragasevic N, Kostic VS (2004). Characteristics of dystonic movements in primary and symptomatic dystonias. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **75**:329–330.
- Tan EK, Jankovic J (2000). Tardive and idiopathic oromandibular dystonia: a clinical comparison. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **68**:186–190.
- Tassin J, Durr A, Bonnet AM, Gil R, Vidailhet M, Lucking CB, Goas JY, Durif F, Abada M, Echenne B, Motte J, Lagueny A, Lacomblez L, Jedynak P, Bartholome B, Agid Y, Brice A (2000). Levodopa-responsive dystonia. GTP cyclohydrolase I or parkin mutations? *Brain* **123**:1112–1121.
- The National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Selective peripheral denervation of cervical dystonia (2004). <http://www.nice.org.uk>
- Tolosa E, Montserrat L, Bayes A (1988). Blink reflex studies in focal dystonias: enhanced excitability of brainstem interneurons in cranial dystonia and spasmodic torticollis. *Mov Disord* **3**:61–69.
- Valente EM, Warner TT, Jarman PR, Mathen D, Fletcher NA, Marsden CD, Bhatia KP, Wood N W (1998). The role of DYT1 in primary torsion dystonia in Europe. *Brain* **121**: 2335–2339.
- Valente EM, Edwards MJ, Mir P, Digiorio A, Salvi S, Davis M, Russo N, Bozi M, Kim HT, Pennisi G, Quinn N, Dallapiccola B, Bhatia KP (2005). The epsilon-sarcoglycan gene in myoclonic syndromes. *Neurology* **64**:737–739.
- van der KW, Berardelli A, Rothwell JC, Thompson PD, Day BL, Marsden CD (1989). Rapid elbow movements in patients with torsion dystonia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psych* **52**:1043–1049.

- Vidailhet M, Tassin J, Durif F, Nivelon-Chevallier A, Agid Y, Brice A, Durr A (2001). A major locus for several phenotypes of myoclonus–dystonia on chromosome 7q. *Neurology* **56**: 1213–1216.
- Vidailhet M, Vercueil L, Houeto JL, Krystkowiak P, Benabid AL, Cornu P, Lagrange C, Tezenas du MS, Dormont D, Grand S, Blond S, Detante O, Pillon B, Ardouin C, Agid Y, Destee A, Pollak P (2005). Bilateral deep-brain stimulation of the globus pallidus in primary generalized dystonia. *New England J Med* **352**:459–467.
- Watts CC, Whurr R, Nye C (2004). Botulinum toxin injections for the treatment of spasmodic dysphonia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* p. CD004327.
- Whitaker J, Butler A, Semlyen JK, Barnes MP (2001). Botulinum toxin for people with dystonia treated by an outreach nurse practitioner: a comparative study between a home and a clinic treatment service. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* **82**:480–484.
- Yianni J, Bain P, Giladi N, Auca M, Gregory R, Joint C, Nandi D, Stein J, Scott R, Aziz T (2003). Globus pallidus internus deep brain stimulation for dystonic conditions: a prospective audit. *Mov Disord* **18**:436–442.
- Yoshor D, Hamilton WJ, Ondo W, Jankovic J, Grossman RG (2001). Comparison of thalamotomy and pallidotomy for the treatment of dystonia. *Neurosurgery* **48**:818–824.